- 1				
1	James E. Whitmire, Esq.			
2	Nevada Bar No. 6533 SANTORO WHITMIRE, LTD. 10100 West Charleston Blvd. Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Telephone: (702) 948-8771 Facsimile: (702) 948-8773			
3				
4				
5	Email: jwhitmire@santoronevada.com			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Origin Consulting, LLC and Origin Ventures, LLC			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
10	Origin Consulting, LLC, a Nevada limited	Case No.: 2:22-cv-00786-APG-BNW		
11	liability company; Origin Ventures, LLC f/k/a Origin Holdings, Inc., a Nevada limited	Case No.: 2:22-cv-00789-GMN-EJY Case No.: 2:22-cv-00809-JCM-DJA		
12	liability company,	Case No.: 2:22-cv-00906-APG-VCF		
13	Plaintiff vs.			
14	Beverly Marquez,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING PRE-TRIAL		
15	Defendant Defendant	DEADLINES		
16		(First Request)		
17	Origin Consulting, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; Origin Ventures, LLC f/k/a			
18	Origin Holdings, Inc., a Nevada limited liability company,			
19	Plaintiff			
20	VS.			
21	Jason Ali,			
22	Defendant			
23	Origin Consulting, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; Origin Ventures, LLC f/k/a			
24	Origin Holdings, Inc., a Nevada limited liability company,			
25	Plaintiff			
26	VS.			
27	Franco Roig,			
28	ACTIVE 684265288v1			

1 Defendant 2 Origin Consulting, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; Origin Ventures, LLC f/k/a 3 Origin Holdings, Inc., a Nevada limited liability company, 4 Plaintiff 5 VS. 6 7 Robert Thomas, 8 Defendant 9 Plaintiffs and Defendants, by and through their respective undersigned counsel, pursuant to 10 LR 26-3, LR IA 6-1 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, hereby state the following: 11 PROCEDURAL HISTORY 12 The relevant procedural history is summarized below: 13 1. This case encompasses four cases referenced in the caption. 14 The Complaint in the first action/lead case (this case number) was filed on May 18, 15 2022 (ECF No. 1). 16 Thereafter, other cases were filed, with the fourth case being filed on June 6, 2022. 17 4. Notice of Related Cases Under Local Rule 42-1 have been filed (e.g., ECF No. 9). 18 5. A Motion to Consolidate Related Cases was filed on June 28, 2022 (ECF No. 14). 19 6. An Order Consolidating Cases was entered on or about July 18, 2022 (ECF No. 20). 20 7. A Stipulation for Substitution of Counsel for Plaintiffs was filed on August 10, 2022 21 (ECF No. 21). A subsequent Order granting the substitution of counsel was entered 22 on August 11, 2022 (ECF No. 22). 23 8. A Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order was thereafter filed by Plaintiffs' 24 new counsel on August 13, 2022 (ECF No. 23). 25 The Court entered an Order approving the parties' Discovery Plan and Scheduling 26 Order on August 15, 2022 (ECF No. 24). 27 28

10. On September 27, 2022, the Court entered an Order approving the parties' Stipulated Protective Order governing confidential information (ECF No. 27).

II. GROUNDS FOR EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY DEADLINES

LR 26-3 governs requests for extension of discovery deadlines and provides that the applicant must show good cause for the extension. LR 26-4 also requires the following to be included in such motion or stipulation:

- (a) A statement specifying the discovery completed;
- (b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed;
- (c) The reasons why discovery remaining was not completed within the time set by the discovery plan; and
- (d) A proposed schedule for completing remaining discovery.

A. Good Cause Exists to Extend the Discovery Deadlines.

Plaintiffs, in the Spring of 2022, sued four former employees for alleged federal trade secret misappropriation, state trade secret misappropriation, and breach of contract, and has alleged other related claims against Defendant Thomas. The cases were filed in May and June of 2022.

After Plaintiffs initiated this suit, the Parties discussed and have stipulated to consolidating the cases for purposes of discovery due to anticipated overlaps in discovery sought and produced. The Court consolidated the cases.

After consolidation, Plaintiffs substituted new counsel in this matter. The Defendants are represented by separate counsel. More particularly, three Defendants are represented by one firm and the other Defendant is represented by another law firm. Counsel have been cooperatively working with one another in this matter.

Plaintiffs' former counsel participated in the Rule 26 Conference. Plaintiffs' new counsel thereafter completed the process of submitting a proposed discovery plan and scheduling order that was approved by the Court.

The parties have exchanged their Initial Disclosures. A Protective Order has been negotiated and approved by the Court governing confidential information. Since the substitution of counsel, there has been limited discussion of potential case resolution before extensive discovery was undertaken.

Discovery has separately commenced. Plaintiff has served interrogatories and document production requests on all Defendants in November, 2022. Defendant Roig served interrogatories and document production requests upon Origin Consulting, Inc. in November, 2022. Origin Consulting, Inc. served responses Roig's discovery requests on December 22, 2022. Defendants Ali, Roig, and Thomas served responses to Plaintiff's requests for production of documents on December 22, 2022. The parties are also coordinating depositions.

Discovery in this multi-party case is not as simple as in a two-party case. While the issues against each defendant appear to be similar, there are specific issues against each defendant which have required their own investigation and discovery. Additionally, the Parties are not centrally located—Origin is located in Nevada, Marquez resides in Canada, Ali in Illinois, and Thomas in North Carolina. Roig is the only defendant who resides in Nevada.

The pace of this case to date has been affected by several factors that are *not* associated with lack of diligence. This is a multi-party case as noted above with witnesses and discovery sources located throughout the United States and into Canada. New counsel for Plaintiffs has required time to familiarize himself with the work conducted to date and to be completed. Counsel for all parties have had trials scheduled in other matters. Counsel for three of the defendants was involved in a Federal Court Jury trial in October/November of 2022. Counsel for Plaintiffs has been preparing for a three-week arbitration that was set to commence in December 2022, and which is now going to occur in January, 2023. There has also been limited discussion of potential case resolution.

The parties have conferred regarding an extension of time. The parties request that the applicable case deadlines be extended by ninety (90) days.

B. Statements Pursuant to LR 26-3.

1. <u>Discovery Completed to Date:</u>

To date, the parties have completed the following discovery:

1	
1	

28 | /

Date	Discovery	
8/23/22	Defendant Roig, Ali and Thomas Initial Disclosures	
8/29/22	Defendant Marquez Initial Disclosures	
8/30/22	Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosures	
11/16/22	Notice of Deposition to Defendant Roig	
11/22/22	Plaintiff's First Request for Production to Defendants Marquez, Roig, Ali, Thomas	
11/29/22	Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendants Marquez, Roig, Ali, Thomas	
11/22/22	Defendant Roig's First Request for Production to Plaintiff Origin Consulting, Inc.	
11/22/22	Defendant Roig's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Origin Consulting Inc.	
12/22/22	Plaintiff's Responses to Roig's First Request for Production	
12/22/22	Plaintiff's Responses to Roig's First Set of Interrogatories	
12/22/22	Defendant Ali's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Document Production Requests	
12/22/22	Defendant Thomas' Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Document Production Requests	
12/22/22	Defendant Roig's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Document Production Requests	
12/23/22	Original date for deposition of Defendant Roig (reset to 1/5/23)	

2. <u>Description of Discovery that Remains to be Completed:</u> Responses to Plaintiffs' interrogatories are due December 29, 2022. The parties are involved in and anticipate conducting further written discovery. Plaintiffs will depose defendant Roig on January 5, 2022. Additional depositions will be taken within the time remaining for discovery. Depositions include party depositions and various third-party depositions. Subpoenas in various jurisdictions may also be issued. In addition, it is anticipated that expert discovery will occur.

3. Reasons Why Discovery Has Not Been Completed Within Current Time Limits:

Time remains to complete discovery; however, it has become reasonably clear that the deadlines in this case will need to be modified for the parties to fairly and properly prepare the matter before discovery closes. As noted above, the pace of this case to date has been affected by several factors that are *not* associated with lack of diligence. In addition to the factors previously noted, the parties have also been attempting to navigate around the Holidays including both Thanksgiving, and Holidays in December and January.

To allow both parties adequate time to perform to shape their case, complete discovery, retain experts, and prepare dispositive motions, the parties agree to amend the Scheduling Order by extending the following pre-trial deadlines an additional ninety (90) days.

4. <u>Proposed Schedule:</u> It is stipulated by the parties below that the parties shall consent to the proposed modified scheduling order as follows:

Amending Pleadings and Adding	April 23, 2023 (From January 13,
Parties	2023)
Deadline for Initial Expert Disclosures	May 13, 2023 (From February 13, 2023)
Deadline for Rebuttal Expert	June 15, 2023 (From March 15,
Disclosures	2023)
Deadline to Complete Discovery	July 13, 2023 (From April 13, 2023)
Deadline to File Dispositive Motions	August 13, 2023 (From May 12, 2023)
Deadline to File Joint Pre-Trial Order	September 13, 2023 (From June 12, 2023)

IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED:

DATED: December 23, 2022.

1	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	SANTORO WHITMIRE, LTD.
2	By: /s/ Jason K. Hicks	By: /s/ James E. Whitmire James E. Whitmire, , Esq.
3	JASON K. HICKS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13149	10100 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite 250
4	10845 Griffith Peak Drive Suite 600	Las Vegas, Nevada 8935 Tel: (702) 948-8771
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Telephone: (702) 792-3773	
6	Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 Email: hicksja@gtlaw.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Origin Consulting, LLC Origin Ventures, LLC
7	Attorneys for Franco Roig,	
8	Robert Thomas, and Jason Ali	
9	DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC	
10	By: /s/ John L. Krieger	
11	Cynthia Alexander, Esq. (Bar No. 6718)	
12	John L. Krieger, Esq. (Bar No. 6023)	
13	3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 800	
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169	
15	Attorneys for Defendant	
16 17	Beverly Marquez	
18		IT IS SO ORDERED.
19		
20		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21		DATED:
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ACTIVE 684265288v1	Page 7 of 7